

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

NO. 8 MINE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE ELJEN GROUP, LLC, ELVEN E.
JENNINGS, JACK ELKINS, FRANK
LENTE, AND STEVE HARPER,

Defendants.

Case No.: 3:18-cv-00104-WGC

ORDER

Re: ECF No. 162

THE ELJEN GROUP, LLC, *et al.*,

Counter-Plaintiffs,

v.

NO. 8 MINE, LLC,

Counter-Defendant.

THE ELJEN GROUP, LLC, *et al.*,

Third Party Plaintiffs,

v.

DAVID TACKETT, ARGENT ASSET
GROUP, LLC, and ROBERT HIGGINS,

Third Party Defendants.

Defendants/counter-Plaintiffs/third party plaintiffs the Eljen Group, LLC, Elven E. Jennings, Jack Elkins, Frankte Lente and Steve Harper (the Eljen parties) have filed a motion for attorney's fees in relation to their second motion to compel. (ECF No. 162.)

I. BACKGROUND

The Eljen parties filed a motion to compel plaintiff/counter-defendant No. 8 Mine, LLC and third party defendant David Tackett to: (1) disclose information regarding the sale of 181

1 silver bars received from Argent Asset Group, LLC; (2) produce text messages between David
2 Tackett and Steve Tackett; (3) make available for inspection the originals of the contract
3 documents in dispute; and (4) produce documents relating to implementation of the June 16,
4 2017 Joint Venture Agreement. (ECF No. 153). No. 8 Mine, LLC and Tackett failed to file a
5 response to the motion.

6 On May 7, 2020, the court issued an order that, *inter alia*, granted the motion and advised
7 that the Eljen parties were permitted to file a motion requesting reimbursement of the fees
8 incurred in connection with the motion. No. 8 Mine/Tackett were permitted to file a response,
9 and the Eljen parties could file a reply. (ECF No. 160 at 12, 19.)

10 On May 22, 2020, the Eljen parties filed their motion for attorney's fees in the amount of
11 \$1,2014.41 incurred in connection with filing the second motion to compel. (ECF No. 162.)

12 No. 8 Mine and Tackett failed to file a response.

13 II. DISCUSSION

14 If a motion to compel is granted, "the court must, after giving an opportunity to be heard,
15 require the party or deponent whose conduct necessitated the motion, the party or attorney
16 advising that conduct, or both to pay the movant's reasonable expenses incurred in making the
17 motion, including attorney's fees." Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5)(A). The court must so order unless
18 the moving party did not attempt to resolve the discovery dispute in good faith prior to filing the
19 motion, or if the opposing party's failure to disclose was substantially justified or other
20 circumstances make such an award unjust. Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(5)(A)(i)-(iii).

21 Here, No. 8 Mine and Tackett did not oppose the second motion to compel, and therefore,
22 the court granted the motion and the Eljen parties filed their motion for attorney's fees incurred
23 in filing the motion to compel. No. 8 Mine and Tackett also failed to oppose the motion for fees.


1 Having been given an opportunity to be heard and failing to take advantage of that opportunity,
2 and in the absence of any evidence that the nondisclosure was substantially justified or that other
3 circumstances make the award of expenses unjust, the court finds that the motion for fees is
4 appropriately granted. The court has reviewed the hourly rate for Mr. Carrico and the associated
5 billing records and finds them to be reasonable.

6 **III. CONCLUSION**

7 The Eljen parties' motion for attorney's fees (ECF No. 162) is **GRANTED**. No. 8 Mine
8 and Tackett have **30 days** from the date of this Order to pay the Eljen parties the sum of
9 \$2,101.41, for the attorney's fees incurred in filing the second motion to compel.

10
11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12 Dated: October 1, 2020

13 
14 William G. Cobb
15 United States Magistrate Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23